

Skeletal Fixation in a Mutilated Hand



Praveen Bhardwaj, MS (Ortho), DNB (Ortho), FNB (Hand & Microsurgery), EDHS,
Ajeesh Sankaran, MS (Ortho),
S. Raja Sabapathy, MS (Gen), MCh (Plastic), DNB (Plastic), FRCS (Edin), MAMS*

KEYWORDS

• Hand fracture • Mutilating injury • Wrist arthrodesis • Kirschner wire • Bone loss

KEY POINTS

- Hand fracture fixation in mutilating injuries is characterized by multiple challenges due to possible skeletal disorganization and concomitant severe injury of soft tissue structures.
- The effects of skeletal disruption are best analyzed as divided into specific locales in the hand: radial, ulnar, proximal, and distal. Functional consequences of injuries in each of these regions is discussed.
- Preventing contracture of the first web and extension contracture of the finger MCP joints is of paramount importance. Measures like prophylactic first web pinning, positioning during flap inset are elaborated.
- Given the myriad combinations of skeletal and soft tissue injuries possible, pointers like “make the hand look like a hand” or “more mutilation, more conservation” help simplify management.
- Although a variety of implants are now in vogue, K-wire fixation has stood the test of time and is especially useful in multiple fracture situations. Segmental bone loss is quite common in such injuries, which can be safely reconstructed in a staged manner.

Much of the intricate adaptability of the hand depends on the stable polyarticular skeleton being covered with pliable and sensate soft tissue. The goal of fracture fixation in severe injuries of the hand is to provide a backdrop stable enough for immediate reconstructive procedures. On the other hand, all soft tissue procedures must be planned with a view to achieve rapid and solid fracture healing in good position. Two factors that we have found detrimental to hand function in mutilating hand injuries are contracture of the first web and contracture of the metacarpal phalangeal (MCP) joints of the fingers. Their genesis lies less in the fracture pattern and more in the positioning after fixation. Planning only for fracture fixation gives a good radiograph, albeit of a nonfunctional hand. The goal is to achieve the best possible function under the circumstances. Setting course for such a defined goal should integrate the fixation plan with other procedures, such

as flaps, nerve grafting, or tendon transfer. It is quite surprising how often the initial skeletal fixation turns out to be suboptimal for some future reconstruction. So, each milestone in the roadmap to salvage should be familiar to the entire team involved.

CHALLENGES IN FRACTURE FIXATION IN MUTILATED INJURIES

The mutilated hand differs from other closed injuries, or even most open fractures, in many ways. First, mutilating injuries may present with a disorganization of the skeleton. Before fracture fixation is considered, components that can be salvaged have to be identified.^{1,2} These then need to be positioned for best possible function.

Second, mutilating injuries also present with joint disruption apart from the fractures. Restoring stability to these joints, while preserving motion, is

Department of Plastic, Hand & Reconstructive Microsurgery and Burns, Ganga Hospital, 313, Mettupalayam Road, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641 043, India

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: rajahand@gmail.com

Hand Clin 32 (2016) 505–517

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hcl.2016.06.001>

0749-0712/16/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.